Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/734,952	LOPEZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jermie Cozart	3726
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: <u>allowed</u>
(1) <u>Jermie Cozart</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Hani Sayed</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 September 2006	Time: <u>1:12pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative) o	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None	•	
Claims discussed: 1, 12, and 13		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.	·	
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief separate in the contraction of all issues.</li> </ul>	ion. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ag	oplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)
(A)	phoditionphilipain a vehicacillar	ivo oignature – ir appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Sayed agreed to amend claims 1, 12, and 13 by examiner's amendment in order to correct a spelling error in claim 1, change the dependency of claim 12 so as so to provide proper antecedent basis for the limitations of claim 13, in order to place the application in condition for allowance.